ATTAC	HMENT	A
Page	of_	4
NUMBER	CDD-29	

## PROPOSED COUNCIL STUDY ISSUE

For Calendar Year: 2005

	•	New _	Х
		Previous Year (below line/defer)	
lssue:	Extending	Approval of Wright Avenue Single Story Combining District	
Lead Department: Community		Community Development Department	
General l	Plan Eleme	ent or Sub-Element: Land Use and Transportation Element	

## 1. What are the key elements of the issue? What precipitated it?

In July 2001, the City Council approved a Single-Story Combining District for 54 lots in the vicinity of Wright Avenue and Edmonton Avenue (Tract 1910). The combining district was requested by the affected property owners. Per the city's zoning code, a single-story combining district is in effect for seven years after the date of approval.

This study issue would consider initiating an extension of this particular combining district on behalf of the neighbors. Municipal Code section 19.26.200(d)(1) states that an application to establish a single-story combining district shall be initiated by owners of property within the proposed district. Municipal Code section 19.26.200 (c) states that upon expiration of a single-story combining district, property owners within the district may apply for another single-story combining district, following the same procedures as for an original application. The seven year limit recognized that changes in home ownership might result in a majority of homeowners no longer desiring such a restriction on their property. The code does not make provisions for the City Council to initiate consideration of a single-story combining district.

## 2. How does this relate to the General Plan or existing City Policy?

Land Use and Transportation Element C1.1

Recognize that the City is composed of residential, industrial, and commercial neighborhoods, each with its own individual character, and allow change consistent with reinforcing positive neighborhood values.

Community Design Sub-Element A.2.b

Continue to maintain and develop zoning standards which preserve the quality of residential neighborhoods.

EXTENDING APPROVAL	OF WRIGHT AVENUE	SINGLE FAMILY -	CONT
	OI FINIUNI MYENUE	SINGLE FAMILY	CONT

Page 2	PAGE	2 4
--------	------	-----

3.	Origin of issue:	,			
	Council Member(s):	Howe, Hamilton, Sv	vegles		
	General Plan:				
	City Staff:		-	<del></del>	
	Board or Commission (identify name of the advisory body from the list below):				
	(Arts, Building of Code Appeals, BF Human Services, Library, Parks and F	PAC, Child Care, I Recreation, Person	Heritage, H nel and Pla	ousing and ning)	
	Board or Commission ranked this s	tudy issue	of		
	Board or Commission ranking com	ments:			
4.	Multiple Year Project? Yes No	X Expected Ye	ar Complet	ed 2005	
5.	Estimated work hours for completion increments):	of the study iss	sue (use 5	or 8-hour	
•	(a) Estimated work hours from the lead	l department		200	
	(b)Estimated work hours from consulta	ant(s) if applicable	e:		
	(c)Estimated work hours from the City	Attorney's Office:		40	•
	(d)Estimated work hours from Finance	•			
	(e)Estimated work hours from other de Department:	partment(s):			
	Department:				
	Department:				
	Total Estimated Hours:			240	
6.	Expected participation involved in the	study issue proce	ss?		
	(a) Does Council need to approve a wo		Yes	No X	
	(b) Does this issue require review by a Board/Commission? If so, please lis	t below:	Yes_X	No	
	Planning Commission				
	(c) Is a Council Study Session anticipat	ed?	Yes	No X	

EXTENDING APPROVAL OF WRIGHT AVENUE SINGLE FAMILY— CONT.	ATT/		ENT A	
(d) What is the public participation process?	1 460	<del></del>	UI	-

In addition to the standard procedures for giving public notice for Planning Commission and City Council hearings, outreach will be conducted to members of the Wright Avenue single-story combining district.

7. Cost of Study: Please mark appropriate item below.

X Costs covered in operating budget – 242 Community Planning
Costs covered by project - <u>N/A</u>
Budget modification needed for study – N/A

Explain below what the additional funding will be used for:

8. Potential fiscal impact to implement recommendations in the Study approved by Council, if any:

Mark a range for the items below:	\$500 or none	\$50K or less	\$51K - \$100K	\$101K - \$500K	\$501K or more
Capital expenditure range	X				
Operating expenditure range		X			
New revenues/savings range	X				

**Explain impact briefly:** Normally a single-story combining district request would be accompanied by an application fee. If the neighborhood initiated this application the fee would be \$100 per property (2004-2005 fee), or \$4,180 for the Wright/Edmonton neighborhood.

EXTENDING APPROVAL OF WRIGHT AVENUE SIN	NGLE FAMILY CONT.	Page 4 PAGE 4	4
<ol><li>Staff Recommendation for this calenda</li><li>"For" Study Explain:</li></ol>	ar year:		
"Against" Study $\underline{X}$ Explain. If staff so considered again in the future or deferred explanation:	d at this time, please	include this in your	٠,
Extending the Single Story zoning combining conflict with the zoning code. If the underlying too expensive, the Council could consider received that this is an impact to the operating budget concern is that in seven years new neighborestriction the council may want to consider the time frame (including eliminating the succede to allow residents to participate in the discodering the succedering t	g concern is that the reducing or eliminating the for development service bors may want to elimination to the provision or manager provision or manager provision.	ezoning application is e fee (understanding es). If the underlying ninate the one-story the code to change	
No Recommendation		·	
Note: If staff's recommendation is "for study" of the relative importance of this Study to oth currently working on or that are soon services/priorities.	ner major projects the	t the dependence of to	
Reviewed by			*** *** *** 1
Department Director	Date		
Approved by			
City Manager	Date		

ATTACHMENT A